

JASON ROGERS WILLIAMS

ORLEANS PARISH DISTRICT ATTORNEY

June 24, 2021

Metropolitan Crime Commission Board of Directors 1615 Poydras St., Ste. 1060 New Orleans, L.A. 70112

Dear Metropolitan Crime Commission Board Members,

I am writing to express serious concern over the consistently partisan practices of Rafael Goyeneche related to the work of the Orleans Parish District Attorney's office. This office understands and appreciates the role of independent watchdog groups to ensure good government and outcomes. Watchdog groups serve a vital function. However, Mr. Goyeneche has consistently behaved in a manner that does not align with the independent watchdog role in which the Metropolitan Crime Commission professes and is designed to act. Our office has met with your Board to share our vision and work, and we have attempted on multiple occasions to directly communicate proactively with Mr. Goyeneche.

This pattern of unobjective and nonfactual media commentary must be addressed if the Metropolitan Crime Commission is to live up to its mission statement. Constructive criticism is a pilar of our democracy. However, providing false public commentary to support claims for alarm is dangerous, makes the work of the criminal legal system harder, and decreases public safety. The Metropolitan Crime Commission's mission to bring accountability and transparency to the criminal justice system is futile if proper investigation, facts, and the law is disregarded when your staff makes public comments.

Mr. Goyeneche's false assertions on June 15, 2021 to Fox 8 that the DA's Office did not oppose the bond reduction in the hearing of Bryan Andry, and the claim that our office is breaking the law and making the public less safe unless we oppose bonds is the latest example that Mr. Goyeneche will use any means to criticize our administration and alarm the public irrespective of facts. The record is clear that this office did oppose this bond reduction on February 1, 2021 in open court.

I am attaching the court transcripts from the above referenced matter for your review. Additionally, I would encourage the Board to consider watching the New Orleans City Council Criminal Justice Committee Hearing that took place on June 16, 2021 where the Criminal Court

judges confirmed the law and position of the District Attorney that the Court is responsible for setting bail. Not the District Attorney.

On January 27, 2021, only 17 days into our administration, Mr. Goyeneche participated in a separate interview with Fox 8 where he asserted that our office's policy to no longer oppose parole applications was problematic and suggested that our office would not assist victim families who wanted to testify at parole hearings when our office stated on the record on the same date that we would in fact continue to support victims in sharing their perspective.

Then, on February 24, 2021, Mr. Goyeneche did an interview with Fox 8 attempting to alarm the public claiming that my hiring of a former Juvenile Public Defender as Chief of the DA's Juvenile Division posed a conflict of interest. Mr. Goyeneche is clearly aware or should be aware that the law does not prohibit a lawyer who has been a public defender from later serving as a prosecutor, and there are conflict of interest protocols for all lawyers that must be abided by. He was certainly aware that former District Attorney Leon Cannizzarro also worked as criminal defense attorney. In that same interview, Mr. Goyeneche suggested that the DA's Office was violating the law by placing some juvenile offenders in intervention programs. Like the previous matter, Mr. Goyeneche is fully aware that the District Attorney has discretion on charging decisions and whether to place someone in a diversion program to receive intervention services. Mr. Goyeneche is also aware that my predecessors also had diversion programs in place.

Again, our administration absolutely recognizes the need for independent entities committed to holding the criminal justice system accountable, but the Metropolitan Crime Commission must ensure that its staff and entire organization is held to the same standard as they attempt to hold others. The continued actions of Mr. Goyeneche and his unwillingness to foster a constructive, respectful and honest relationship is causing unnecessary distractions to the work required to increase safety and justice in Orleans Parish.

Thank you for your attention to this concerning matter. I look forward to your response and any corrective measures that will help prevent these unnecessary and unfair actions in the future.

All the bes

Jason Røgers Williams

Orleans Parish District Attorney

CRIMINAL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS STATE OF LOUISIANA

STATE OF LOUISIANA

VERSUS

CASE NO. 548-795

BRYAN M. ANDRY

SECTION "L"

Hearing on Bond Reduction

Argument heard in the above-entitled and -numbered cause, before the **HONORABLE ANGEL HARRIS**, Judge presiding on Monday, the 1st day February 2021 via Zoom Conference.

APPEARANCES:

REPRESENTING THE STATE OF LOUISIANA:

ERIC CUSIMANO, ESQ.

ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY

REPRESENTING THE DEFENDANT, BRYAN ANDRY:

LINDSAY MARKEL, ESQ.

ORLEANS PARISH PUBLIC DEFENDERS

REPORTED BY:

PINKEY FERDINAND, CCR
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
IN AND FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS
STATE OF LOUISIANA

PROCEEDINGS 1 (February 1, 2021) 2 3 THE COURT: All right. And then we had Mr. Andry. 4 Mr. Andry? 5 6 MS. MARKEL: Good morning, Judge, Lindsay Markel on 7 behalf of Brain Andry. He's present in 8 court over Zoom. We're set for a Motions 9 Hearing this morning, I think probably in 10 both matters. I've emailed the Court and 11 Mr. Cusimano, Your Honor, a Motion to 12 Reduce Bond on behalf of Mr. Andry. 13 And I'm happy to make my argument on 14 that, but we were also going to ask Your 1.5 Honor if it would be possible at some point 16 in today's docket for Mr. Cusimano and 17 18 myself to discuss a possible resolution for Mr. Andry at some point this morning. 19 THE COURT: 20 Okay. No problem. We can -- I will 21 give you an opportunity to discuss a 22 possible resolution if we can wait to --23 closer to the end of the docket. We have a 24 pretty short docket this morning, so it 25 shouldn't be too --26 27 MS. MARKEL: No problem. 28 THE COURT: 29 -- be too long. 30 So, yes, for Case Number 5489 or --31

548-795, with regard to the bond reduction,

you can make your arguments at this time. 1 MS. MARKEL: 2 Thank you, Judge. 3 So Mr. Andry's bonds are -- woops --4 currently -- Mr. Andry, if you know, 5 off the top of your head --6 7 (At which time there was a distortion in the Zoom audio.) MS. MARKEL: 8 9 But I -- I know we've talked about it, 10 I just don't have it. THE COURT: 11 So for my records, I have \$95,000 on 12 the two counts of Armed Robbery, but it 13 looks like for the Possession of Firearm by 14 a Felon, there are no bonds set currently. 15 16 Is what you have? MS. MARKEL: 17 So --18 MR. CUSIMANO, ADA: If I'm not mistaken, Your Honor, they 20 were set at \$95,000 total, \$70,000 on one 21 of the counts and 25,000 on the second when 22 Mr. Andry was arraigned in June. 23 THE COURT: 24 Okay. And that's on the --25 (The parties are talking simultaneously.) 26 MS. MARKEL: 27 So his total --28 THE COURT: 29 Right, Mr. Cusimano? 30 31 MS. MARKEL: 32 Yes, two counts of Armed Robbery: One

4 5

1.0

2 4

set at 10, one set at 50; two counts of 95.1, one set at 70, one set at 25 --

THE COURT:

Okay.

MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:

That's what I have.

MS. MARKEL:

-- for a total of 245,000.

So, Judge, Mr. Andry has been detained on that bond since he was arrested in April of 2020. I have submitted as exhibits with the bond reduction motion some medical — or letters from medical doctors attesting to the fact that members of Mr. Andry's family are disabled, and that he is — because of that, his detention is even more of a hardship on his family, in particular, his mother, Diane Marcelin (phonetic spelling). She did appear and gave testimony at a previous Motion to Reduce Bond. That date was, I believe, September of 2020, that that — that she actually gave testimony.

And she attested to the fact that she has rheumatoid arthritis, and as a result, her mobility is significantly reduced, and she was very reliant upon Mr. Andry for support: cleaning the house; getting prescriptions; things like that, not so much financially because she does have a Disability check. She wanted to make that clear, that she does not depend

on him financially, but support emotionally and just logistically in her own life.

In addition, Mr. Marcelin takes care of Mr. Andry's younger brother who is also disabled. He -- I believe he is in a wheelchair. He has limited mobility as well, so that is the importance of Mr. Andry's presence in their home.

And Mr. Andry, on his own was -- he recently was multiple billed and sentenced to 18 years flat early back in, I believe the '90s. I don't know the alleged facts of that offense, but I think that in today's new climate that should be seen not as an aggravator, but as a mitigator.

Mr. Andry was so severely punished for something that today might receive probation.

He -- when he was released from that sentence, did really well for himself; signed himself up for job training. He was hooked up with Job 1. Unfortunately, had a relapse. When he did that, checked himself into substance abuse treatment and then, unfortunately these charges came about, and He's been incarcerated since then.

So Mr. Andry and I, and Mr. Andry and I, and the Judge, and Mr. Cusimano, I think -- if he was in fact the ADA at the time -- have discussed the fact that

Mr. Andry is not

under illusions that the resolution of this

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31 32 Oh, I'm sorry.

MS. MARKEL:

THE COURT:

She may be with Ms. Marcelin. I'm not

matter will not involve jail time. However, he is very, very sincerely dedicated to trying to get home to help take care of his mother and his family during the pandemic before that happened.

So, he -- I believe the overall request would be to get a bond that his family may be able to make without significant hardship, knowing that he is, again, intending to come back to court and to resolve this matter on his own. He is not trying to get out of that, he just really needs to take care of his mother.

So I think our initial request was going to be \$40,000, which I believe his family would be in a position to make, not immediately, but fairly soon, and we would pair that with either in or out-patient treatment, whatever he feels needing most at the time.

THE COURT:

Okay. And is this his mother who's on? I see a Quiana Andry.

MS. MARKEL:

I believe that that would be his partner, Ms. Quiana.

THE DEFENDANT:

My wife.

sure.

THE COURT:

1

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

2627

28

29

30

31

32

Okay. Mr. Andry, you said that's your wife who's currently on the Zoom?

THE DEFENDANT:

Yes.

THE COURT:

Okay. All right. Thank you.

Mr. Cusimano?

MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:

Your Honor, I appreciate that Ms. Markel maybe assesses the climate change, as she puts it, as a difference. The fact is, Mr. Andry was sentenced to a crime of violence for 15 years, and upon release from that crime of violence now finds himself charged in two very strong cases with two crimes of violence involving a firearm in which two innocent civilians were held up at gunpoint and had their possessions or property taken from their immediate control; one occurring in the middle of the night on Frenchman Street, or near Frenchman Street; the other occurring in the middle of a drug store, not far from St. Charles Avenue.

The IDs in these cases were not hesitant. They were not confused. The video surveillance is not confused. The debit card or credit card that is left at the scene of the CVS is not confused.

Mr. Andry's record, unfortunately,

speaks for itself when it comes to his commission of a violent crime. I would note -- and I cannot speak to the factual basis of the Aggravated Burglary, but I can speak to the sentence. It was a 15-year sentence in 2005, but it's still fairly recent. He was released on that charge, and nonetheless finds himself here.

Additionally, Your Honor, the --

you know, if Ms. Markel's argument is he's not trying to sheik any responsibility for this, but and would like to be released -- understanding that this will be a jail-based sentenced that we will likely be offering, I -- there is, I don't think, any way, based upon the fact allegations at issue in this case thus far, that the State could consider anything else, given the violent nature of this offense and the serious nature of this offense.

So it's a little bit of wanting to have this both ways, of saying, well, it's not that serious, but it is also that serious, and he understands that. And I don't see a way that that can be reconciled at this time.

That is unfortunate. The factual, various allegations at issue in this case are also very unfortunate, as is the strength of the evidence against him.

MS. MARKEL:

4 5

1 2 3

THE COURT:

8 sta

Ms. Markel? Ms. Markel, one second.

Sorry. We're having audio issues, so I

heard -- (Zoom distorted) -- if you could

start over.

If I could just make a brief factual

correction, is that in both of the matters

MS. MARKEL:

Yes, just a brief factual correction, is that in both of the alleged Armed Robberies, there is not actually strong evidence of a gun being present.

There is a -- in the Walgreens one, one of the clerks allege that there was a firearm.

In the wal (phonetic) -- excuse me.

In the Frenchman Street incident, the Complainant was explicit that she did not see a gun, so.

MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:

Your Honor, I'll concede that she's explicit as to not having seen it, nonetheless, her belief and the representation by the offender that a gun was present and being used in the commission of the offense, which is sufficient for the purposes of the statute.

I'll concede that she did not report seeing one but, nonetheless, believed him to have one based upon his representations at the time.

MS. MARKEL:

That would be -- satisfy the statute of First Degree Robbery not of Armed Robbery. MR. CUSIMANO, ADA: I appreciate that. I appreciate there's is a factual dispute as to it, but nonetheless, the offender purported that a firearm was used and the victim very much believed a firearm was at issue in the case. THE COURT: And so can you remind me -- tell me again the bond amounts that are currently set. MS. MARKEL: They are -- on one count of Armed Robbery with a Firearm, 10,000. On the second count, 5,000 -- sorry, 50,000. I'm so bad with zeros. On one of the 95.1 counts, 70, and on the second one, 25. THE COURT: Okay. It actually looks like for -according to Docket Master, for the 64.1 -for the Armed Robbery, that it's \$100,0000, and then for the other, it's \$50,000. But you said that you see \$10,000, Ms. Markel? MS. MARKEL: No, I'm just really, really terrible with zeros. I'm sorry. It should be 150.

1

2 3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

29

30

31

32

I have 150 plus the 91.1s

MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:

totaling at \$95,000: The first, 70,000; 1 the second, \$25,000. 2 3 THE COURT: Okay. And Ms. Markel, you were 4 talking about possible resolution of the 5 6 case. Can you -- you said that you spoke 7 with a DA that was working on this previously? What were you saying with 8 9 regard to resolution? MS. MARKEL: 10 Oh, Mr. --11 12 MR. CUSIMANO, ADA: 13 That was me. (Cross talk.) 14 15 MS. MARKEL: 16 -- Cusimano and I had a 17 discussion last week about essentially the 18 fact dispute that we are arguing about this 19 morning, whether the State would 20 offer First Degree Robbery, and if so, what 21 Your Honor's sentence might be. THE COURT: 22 And you're asking for a total 23 Okay. bond of 40,000? Is that your request? 24 MS. MARKEL: 25 26 Yes, ma'am. 27 THE COURT: Ms. Markel? 28 29 MS. MARKEL: Yes. Yes, ma'am. 30 THE COURT: 31 32 And Mr. Andry was just released --

	12
1	well, recently released for an Aggravated
2	Battery of which he served a 15-year
3	sentence; is that correct?
4	MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:
5	Aggravated Burglary
6	MS. MARKEL:
7	Aggravated
8	MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:
9	but, yes, ma'am.
10	THE COURT:
11	All right.
12	MS. MARKEL:
13	And I believe it was 18 years flat, if
14	I'm not mistaken.
15	MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:
16	According to the Minute Entry, 15 is
17	what I see, but I'll check it again.
18	THE COURT:
19	Okay. Yeah, that's what I saw in the
20	Minute Entry as well, 15 years.
21	MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:
22	It's a case out of Section "A",
23	448-587, just for the record.
24	MS. MARKEL:
25	I think it got to 18 because he was
26	billed.
27	THE COURT:
28	Okay.
29	MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:
30	That could be it.
31	THE COURT:
32	But Mr. Cusimano, with regard to

potentially resolving this case, how soon do you think you would be able to get a resolution?

MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:

Your Honor, I think we could have a resolution as soon as next Monday or Wednesday, at least in terms of having an offer on the table. That's in part what I wanted to discuss with you in terms of where you would be if we were willing to reduce it to a First Degree Robbery. I agree that that is an issue of dispute in the case. That said, I very much believe that the evidence, you know, rest ultimately on my side of the case.

That said, based upon whatever Mr.

Andry would be willing to accept, we may be willing to compromise in terms of making an offer to resolve this matter. I don't know what that number would be from the State at this time, but would be interested in having that conversation with the Court, more frankly, and believe I can have that done by next week pretty easily.

THE COURT:

You said a First -- you said potentially reducing it to a First Degree Robbery; is that what you said?

MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:

That's what's been discussed. I would be curious where the Court would stand in terms of asking my office if we would

consider that, based upon the limited 1 factual area of dispute at issue in this 2 3 case. THE COURT: 4 Okay. And Ms. Markel, you said it 5 would take Mr. Andry's family quite some time to 6 raise a \$40,000 bond? 7 MS. MARKEL: 8 So they have been working --9 Mr. Andry, you can jump in if you know 10 better than I do. They've been working for 11 a long time, on trying to get money 12 together for bond in the hopes that it 13 might be reduced, so I'm not sure if 40 14 would be something they might be able to 15 make quickly or not. 16 Do you know, Mr. Andry? 17 THE DEFENDANT: 18 (Nodded.) 19 MS. MARKEL: 20 You think they could do that soon? 21 THE DEFENDANT: 22 Yes, ma'am. 23 MS. MARKEL: 24 25 Okay. THE COURT: 26 One second. 27 (Brief pause.) 28 THE COURT: 29 And Ms. Markel, you mentioned 30 Mr. Andry had previously been in rehab, or 31 you were discussing rehab or 32

1 rehabilitation. Has he --

2 MS. MARKEL:

1.0

1.5

Yes, he did check himself into rehab before his arrest on these charges. And in working with an OPD social worker, we had also gotten him approved for additional treatment. Should he be able to make bond, he could do that either in-patient or out-patient, whichever the Court or Mr. Andry thought more appropriate.

RULING OF THE COURT

THE COURT:

At this time I am going to grant the motion, but not for the amount that Ms. Markel is requesting.

I'm going to keep the \$25,000 bond for the one count of Possession of a Firearm by a Felon and reduce the \$70,000 bond to \$25,000, and reduce the Armed Robbery -- both counts of the Armed Robbery to \$25,000 a piece, so a total of \$100,000.

MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:

Your Honor, if you could note the State's objection.

THE COURT:

And with the requirement that if

Mr. Andry is able to get out, that he needs
to get enrolled in a drug rehabilitation
program. I also would like to speak with
both the State and Defense about a
resolution of this case.

MR. CUSIMANO, ADA:

Your Honor, additionally, if Mr. Andry 1 were released, the State would also request 2 an ankle monitor be a condition of that 3 release, given that --4 THE COURT: 5 I'll grant -- I'll the State's 6 7 request. MR. CUSIMANO, ADA: 8 9 Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: 10 Okay. 11 MS. MARKEL: 12 13 Okay. Thank you, Judge. (Side comments regarding an unrelated case.) 14 THE COURT: 15 Okay. So for Mr. Andry right now, do 16 we want to just set him for next week? 17 MR. CUSIMANO, ADA: 18 That's fine with me. We can set him 19 for a pretrial on Wednesday. I would say 20 Tuesday may be necessary in the event we are 21 able to work out a resolution. Obviously, we 22 23 wouldn't be able to take any plea or resolution until Wednesday. 24 25 THE COURT: Correct. We can set Mr. Andry for 26 27 both case numbers, 548-795, and Case Number 28 549-263 for February of -- yeah, next Wednesday, 29 February 10th for Pretrial. We'll call it a 30 Pretrial. MR. CUSIMANO, ADA: 31

Thank you.

11

	17
1	THE COURT:
2	Ms. Markel, does that date work for
3	you?
4	MS. MARKEL:
5	Yes. Thank you, Judge.
6	THE COURT:
7	Okay. All right. Mr. Andry, so we
8	have you reset for next Wednesday to come back
9	to court, okay?
10	THE DEFENDANT:
11	(The witness nods.)
12	(Side comments regarding an unrelated case.)
13	THE DEFENDANT:
14	Did my wife say my bond was reset
15	at what?
16	THE COURT:
17	So it's
18	MS. MARKEL:
19	It's a hundred.
20	THE COURT:
21	So it's not
22	MS. MARKEL:
23	The total is \$100,000 now.
24	THE DEFENDANT:
25	Thank you.
26	
27	(WHEREUPON, the proceedings were concluded.)
28	
29	
30	* * *
31	
32	

REPORTER'S PAGE

3 I, PINKEY FERDINAND, Certified Court

Reporter, in and for the State of Louisiana, the officer
as defined in Rule 28 of the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure and/or Article 1434 (B) of the Louisiana Code of
Civil Procedure, before whom this sworn testimony was

taken, do hereby state on the Record;

That due to the interaction in the spontaneous discourse of this proceeding, dashes (--) have been used to indicate pauses, changes in thought, and/or talkovers; that same is the proper method for a Court Reporter's transcription or proceeding, and that dashes (--) do not indicate that words or phrases have been left out of this transcript;

That any words and/or names which could not be verified through reference material have been denoted with the phrase "spelled phonetically."

PINKEY FERDINAND
CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER